From: Strauss, Linda

To: Beck, Nancy; Bertrand, Charlotte; Wise, Louise; Morris, Jeff

CC: Hanley, Mary; Pierce, Alison Sent: 12/7/2017 1:46:34 PM

**Subject:** RE: For 9:00 am 12/7 discussion - NYT on PFAS - written

We can consider this inquiry closed – no action needed.

The article is not negative.

From: Strauss, Linda

Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2017 7:45 AM

To: Beck, Nancy; Bertrand, Charlotte; Wise, Louise; Morris, Jeff

Cc: Hanley, Mary; Pierce, Alison

Subject: RE: For 9:00 am 12/7 discussion - NYT on PFAS - written

The NYT Jon Hurtle article posted yesterday:

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/06/nyregion/new-jersey-pfc-water-limits.html

From: Strauss, Linda

Sent: Wednesday, December 06, 2017 6:12 PM

To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise

< <u>Wise.Louise@epa.gov</u>>; Morris, Jeff < <u>Morris.Jeff@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Hanley, Mary < Hanley. Mary@epa.gov >; Pierce, Alison < Pierce. Alison@epa.gov >

Subject: For 9:00 am 12/7 discussion - NYT on PFAS - written

Nancy Beck would like to discuss Thursday at the 9am.

Here is what we have so far in response to NYT. What edits are needed to the bold/underlined language? See Sheila Canavan's email below too.

1) **NY Times (Jon Hurdle) Request:** Can you tell me why EPA does not regulate perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs)? I understand that EPA issues health advisories for some of them such as PFOA and PFOS but it has not set a maximum contaminant limit for any of the chemicals.

Advocates say EPA should be protecting the public from the chemicals that are linked to cancer, developmental issues, and immune-system problems. In the absence of federal action, some states are now setting their own limits. They include New Jersey which is now setting a MCL for PFNA and PFOA, and is considering whether to do the same for PFOS, at levels that will be the strictest in the U.S.

Given the evidence that these chemicals threaten public health -- as indicated by the EPA's own advisories -- shouldn't EPA be setting national standards?

Could you get me a response to this by the close of business Tuesday?

Information from EPA will be part of a bigger story that covers advocates' calls for tighter controls on PFCs, the actions by some states to regulate them, any comments from the American Chemistry Council, and whatever EPA chooses to say. Yes, late Tuesday would be a hard deadline.

#### **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

#### Begin forwarded message:

From: "Canavan, Sheila" < Canavan. Sheila@epa.gov>

**Date:** December 5, 2017 at 6:56:50 PM EST **To:** "Beck, Nancy" < <u>Beck, Nancy@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: "Morris, Jeff" < Morris.Jeff@epa.gov >, "Strauss, Linda" < Strauss.Linda@epa.gov >, "Bertrand, Charlotte"

<Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>, "Pierce, Alison" <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>, "Schweer, Greg"

<<u>Schweer.Greg@epa.gov</u>>, "Doa, Maria" <<u>Doa.Maria@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: NYT on PFAS - written

Hi Nancy,

The chemist who helped pull the numbers together for the briefing last year is not in this week. We will need her to pull together the past year's numbers on Monday when she is back. Kelly Mayo worked w Kathy Schechter on defining the universe for the PFC numbers in last year's briefing and we want to be consistent. Greg is working on it.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 5, 2017, at 6:26 PM, Beck, Nancy < Beck. Nancy@epa.gov > wrote:

Any update? Thanks.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273

Personal Address / Ex. 6

Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

On Dec 5, 2017, at 2:25 PM, Morris, Jeff < Morris. Jeff@epa.gov > wrote:

I've been hoping we can get the 6/16-present update.

Sent from my iPad

On Dec 5, 2017, at 1:57 PM, Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov> wrote:

Reporter is pinging on this one. What edits to make on the yellow highlighted below?

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Friday, December 01, 2017 7:54 PM

To: Morris, Jeff < Morris.Jeff@epa.gov >; Strauss, Linda < Strauss.Linda@epa.gov >

**Cc:** Bertrand, Charlotte < <u>Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov</u>>; Scheifele, Hans < <u>Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov</u>>; Pierce, Alison < Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia < Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>; Mottley, Tanya@epa.gov>; Wise,

Louise < Wise.Louise@epa.gov>; Hanley, Mary < Hanley.Mary@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: NYT on PFAS - written

Agreed. I think we need to sleep on this one. Lets discuss Monday am.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT

Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

P: 202-564-1273 M: 202-731-9910 beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Morris, Jeff

**Sent:** Friday, December 1, 2017 1:32 PM **To:** Strauss, Linda < Strauss, Linda@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Bertrand, Charlotte <<u>Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov</u>>; Beck, Nancy <<u>Beck.Nancy@epa.gov</u>>; Scheifele, Hans <<u>Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov</u>>; Pierce, Alison <<u>Pierce.Alison@epa.gov</u>>; Ortiz, Julia <<u>Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov</u>>; Mottley,

Tanya < Mottley. Tanya@epa.gov >; Wise, Louise < Wise. Louise@epa.gov >

Subject: Re: NYT on PFAS - written

Yes, though I suspect the reporter will want to follow up on what regulating those 108 means and why we didn't issue orders for the others.

Sent from my iPad

On Dec 1, 2017, at 1:27 PM, Strauss, Linda <<u>Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

Provide the yellow below to OPA? Gen-X info is already included in the fact sheet.

 NY Times (Jon Hurdle) Request: Can you tell me why EPA does not regulate perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs)? I understand that EPA issues health advisories for some of them such as PFOA and PFOS but it has not set a maximum contaminant limit for any of the chemicals.

Advocates say EPA should be protecting the public from the chemicals that are linked to cancer, developmental issues, and immune-system problems. In the absence of federal action, some states are now setting their own limits. They include New Jersey which is now setting a MCL for PFNA and PFOA, and is considering whether to do the same for PFOS, at levels that will be the strictest in the U.S.

Given the evidence that these chemicals threaten public health -- as indicated by the EPA's own advisories -- shouldn't EPA be setting national standards?

Could you get me a response to this by the close of business Tuesday?

Information from EPA will be part of a bigger story that covers advocates' calls for tighter controls on PFCs, the actions by some states to regulate them, any comments from the American Chemistry Council, and whatever EPA chooses to say. Yes, late Tuesday would be a hard deadline.

#### **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

From: Morris, Jeff

Sent: Friday, December 01, 2017 12:47 PM

**To:** Bertrand, Charlotte < <u>Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov</u>>; Strauss, Linda < <u>Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</u>> **Cc:** Beck, Nancy < <u>Beck.Nancy@epa.gov</u>>; Scheifele, Hans < <u>Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov</u>>; Pierce, Alison

<<u>Pierce.Alison@epa.gov</u>>; Ortiz, Julia <<u>Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov</u>>; Mottley, Tanya <<u>Mottley.Tanya@epa.gov</u>>; Wise,

Louise < Wise.Louise@epa.gov > Subject: RE: NYT on PFAS - written

What we know today is the following: From 2006-June 2016, EPA received 273 PFC PMN submissions and entered into consent orders to regulate the chemical for 108 such submissions. We need to do more work to see how many more came in from 6/2016 to present.

From: Bertrand, Charlotte

**Sent:** Friday, December 01, 2017 10:57 AM **To:** Strauss, Linda < <u>Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Beck, Nancy < Beck. Nancy@epa.gov >; Morris, Jeff < Morris. Jeff@epa.gov >; Scheifele, Hans

<<u>Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov</u>>; Pierce, Alison <<u>Pierce.Alison@epa.gov</u>>; Ortiz, Julia <<u>Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov</u>>; Mottley,

Tanya <Mottley. Tanya@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise <Wise.Louise@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: NYT on PFAS - written

#### **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 1, 2017, at 9:19 AM, Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov> wrote:

Nancy, just let us know what is still needed on this. Thanks.

From: Beck, Nancy

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 3:39 PM **To:** Strauss, Linda < Strauss, Linda@epa.gov>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand. Charlotte@epa.gov >; Wise, Louise < Wise. Louise@epa.gov >

Subject: Re: NYT on PFAS - interview or written

I think we should do written and we will need OPPT to chime in here as well. GenX is likely not the only PFAS we have

reviewed and we should speak to those reviews as well.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273

Personal Address / Ex. 6

Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

On Nov 29, 2017, at 3:30 PM, Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov> wrote:

Nancy, this is a New York Times interview request (but we could possibly do a written instead). Liz B. was recommending you. It has a big OW component.

Option 1: Interview with you, w/Peter Grevatt as support.

Option 2: We add on an OCSPP paragraph (yellow below) to the below written. Send that and see if it is enough.

1) NY Times (Jon Hurdle) Request: Can you tell me why EPA does not regulate perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs)? I understand that EPA issues health advisories for some of them such as PFOA and PFOS but it has not set a maximum contaminant limit for any of the chemicals.

Advocates say EPA should be protecting the public from the chemicals that are linked to cancer, developmental issues, and immune-system problems. In the absence of federal action, some states are now setting their own limits. They include New Jersey which is now setting a MCL for PFNA and PFOA, and is considering whether to do the same for PFOS, at levels that will be the strictest in the U.S.

Given the evidence that these chemicals threaten public health -- as indicated by the EPA's own advisories -- shouldn't EPA be setting national standards?

Could you get me a response to this by the close of business Tuesday?

Information from EPA will be part of a bigger story that covers advocates' calls for tighter controls on PFCs, the actions by some states to regulate them, any comments from the American Chemistry Council, and whatever EPA chooses to say. Yes, late Tuesday would be a hard deadline.